EXHIBIT "E"

PACFile - Case Details

Navigate To

Initiate New Case

Case Filing

Case Search

Dashboard

Referenced Case Information

Docket Number: 325 EDA 2011

Short Caption: Commerce Bank v. Porterra

Court: Superior

Case Status: Closed

Event Track: Appeal

Program Status: Not Available

Below is a list of all active counsel listed on this case, their contact information, and the name of the case participant they are representing. Information regarding any pro se participants on the case will also display here.

Attorney: Klyashtorny, Natalie

PA Bar No: 080368

Law Firm: Nochumson, P.C.

Address: 1616 Walnut St Ste 1819

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone No: (215) 399-1346

Fax No: (215) 399-1347

E-Mail Address: nkylashtorny@nochumson.com

 Case Details Accepts eService: Yes Case Details

Filings In

Progress Filings Attorney: Nemeroff, Robert Howard

In Progress

 Calendar **Events**

Events

Law Firm: Friedman, Schuman, Applebaum Calendar

Counsel/Parties

Counsel/Parties

Docket Entries

Docket Entries

 Dispositions Dispositions

Correspondence

Correspondence

PA Bar No: 034681

and Nemeroff, P.C.

Address: 101 Greenwood Ave 5th Fl

Jenkintown, PA 19046-2636

Phone No: (215) 635-7200

Fax No: (215) 635-7212

E-Mail Address: rnemeroff@fsalaw.com

Accepts eService: Yes

Cross Court

Attorney: Nochumson, Alan Louis

Cross Court Financials **Financials**

PA Bar No: 085260

Law Firm: Nochumson, P.C.

Address: 1616 Walnut St Ste 1819

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone No: (215) 399-1346 Fax No: (215) 399-1347

E-Mail Address: anochumson@nochumson.com

Accepts eService: No

Attorney: Sadd, Tricia J.

PA Bar No: 090553

Representing: Porter, Debra

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No

Role: Appellant

IFP Status:

Representing: Commerce Bank, N. A.

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No

Role: Appellee

IFP Status:

Representing: Porter, Debra

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No

Role: Appellant

IFP Status:

Representing: Porterra LLC

Law Firm: Montgomery McCracken Walker & Rhoads LLP

Address: 123 S Broad St

Philadelphia, PA 19109

Phone No: (215) 772-7697

Fax No:

E-Mail Address: tsadd@mmwr.com

Accepts eService: No

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No Role: Appellee

IFP Status:

PACFile - Case Details

Navigate To

Initiate New Case

Case Filing

Case Search

Dashboard

Referenced Case Information

Docket Number: 713 EDA 2011 Case Status: Closed

Short Caption: Commerce Bank v. Porterra, LLC

Event Track: Appeal

Court: Superior Program Status: Not Available

Below is a list of all active counsel listed on this case, their contact information, and the name of the case participant they are representing. Information regarding any pro se participants on the case will also display here.

Attorney: Klyashtorny, Natalie

PA Bar No: 080368

Law Firm: Nochumson, P.C.

Address: 1616 Walnut St Ste 1819

Philadelphia, PA 19103 Phone No: (215) 399-1346

E-Mail Address: nkylashtorny@nochumson.com

Fax No: (215) 399-1347

Role: Appellant

Representation Type:

Representation Type:

Representation Type:

IFP Status:

Representing: Pardes Group, Inc.

Role: Participant

Representing: Commerce Bank, N.A.

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Role: Appellee

Pro Se: No

IFP Status:

Pro Se: No

Representing: Porter, Debra

Case Details

Accepts eService: Yes Case Details

Filings In

Progress Filings Attorney: Miller, Andrew Lawrence

In Progress

Calendar

Events Calendar Events

Law Firm: Andrew L. Miller & Associates, P.C.

Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

Address: 15 St Asaph's Rd

Phone No: (610) 617-1776

Fax No: (610) 664-9435

E-Mail Address: AMiller@amillerlaw.com

PA Bar No: 055994

Counsel/Parties

Counsel/Parties

Docket Entries

Docket Entries Dispositions

Dispositions

Correspondence

Correspondence

Attorney: Nemeroff, Robert Howard

Accepts eService: Yes

Cross Court Cross Court

Financials

Financials

PA Bar No: 034681

Law Firm: Friedman, Schuman, Applebaum

and Nemeroff, P.C.

Address: 101 Greenwood Ave 5th Fl

Jenkintown, PA 19046-2636

Phone No: (215) 635-7200 Fax No: (215) 635-7212

E-Mail Address: rnemeroff@fsalaw.com

Accepts eService: Yes

Attorney: Nochumson, Alan Louis

PA Bar No: 085260

Representing: Porter, Debra

Law Firm: Nochumson, P.C.

Address: 1616 Walnut St Ste 1819

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Phone No: (215) 399-1346 Fax No: (215) 399-1347

E-Mail Address: anochumson@nochumson.com

Accepts eService: No

Pro Se: Porter, James E., Sr.

PA Bar No:

Representing: Porter, James E., Sr.

Address: 121 Knollwood Lodge Road

Hot Springs, AR 71913

Phone No: (501) 767-3596

Fax No: (501) 760-1964

E-Mail Address: Porterbuilt@aol.com

Accepts eService: No

Attorney: Sadd, Tricia J.

PA Bar No: 090553

Law Firm: Montgomery McCracken Walker & Rhoads LLP

Address: 123 S Broad St

Philadelphia, PA 19109

Phone No: (215) 772-7697

Fax No:

E-Mail Address: tsadd@mmwr.com

Accepts eService: No

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No

Role: Appellant

IFP Status:

Representation Type:

Pro Se: Yes

Role: Participant

IFP Status:

Representing: Porterra, LLC

Representation Type:

Pro Se: No

Role: Appellee

IFP Status:

EXHIBIT "F"

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 1 of 37

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS James Porter & Marilynn Sankowski			DEFENDANTS City of Phila., B. Deeley, D. Stewart, E. Chew, W. Bengochea, G. Busillo, J. McCarrie, A. Brown & P. Washington		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hot Springs, AR (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Philadelphia (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Brian J. Zeiger, Esq., 123 S. Broad St., Ste 1200, Phila., PA 19109 215-825-5183			Attorneys (If Known) Anne B. Taylor	;	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only)	III. C	TIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainij
D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF 3 1 O 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In 3	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place © 4 © 4
O 2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Ite.		zen of Another State C	J 2 (3 2 Incorporated and a of Business In .	
	·		zen or Subject of a G oreign Country	J 3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Bax Only) CONTRACTOR TORIS FORFEITURE/PENALITY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES					
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 367 Health Care Of Overpayment Act 330 Pederal Employers' Liability 330 Pederal Employers' 368 Asbestos Pe	INJURY 0 6 Injury - Liability 0 6 are/ unical Injury Liability Personal	ORFEITURE/FENAL/TYS 25 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	BANKRUPTCY 22 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 320 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trackmark	375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Autitrust 430 Banks and Banking
(Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability PERSONAL E ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 370 Other Fra Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice ☐ 345 Marine Product L	PROPERTY 3 7 and Lending 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6 5 6 5 6 5 6 5	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	U 490 Cable/Sat TV U 861 HIA (1395ft) U 862 Black Lung (923) U 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) U 864 SSID Title XVI U 865 RSI (405(g)) U 865 RSI (405(g)) U 866 Arbitration U 490 Cable/Sat TV U 890 Coher Statutory Actions U 891 Agricultural Acts U 893 Environmental Matters U 895 Freedom of Information Act U 896 Arbitration	
O 210 Land Condemnation O 220 Forcelosure O 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment O 240 Torts to Land O 245 Tort Product Liability	■ CIVIE RIGHTS ■ PRISONER:PE ■ 440 Other Civil Rights	us: ninco o Vacato	91 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	☐ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision ☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
1 290 All Other Real Property	MI Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - 535 Death Penalty Employment	is & Other 17 46 its addition since - s of	MMIGRATION 22 Naturalization Application 55 Other Immigration Actions		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 1 Original X2 Removed from D 3 Remanded from Reopened Another District (specify) 2 Removed from D 4 Reinstated or D 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)					
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983					
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Civil Rights Violation under 42 U.S.C. Sec 1983					
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complain COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND: Yes IN NO					•
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY See Instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER					
DATE 4/15/2013 SIGNAFFIRE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	OUNT ARM STOLE	71 HED	52 MEV.	\$22.A	CF
RECEIPT# AM	OUNT APPLYIN	y irr	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	UE.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 2 of 37

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Civil Action **James Porter** 121 Knollwood Lodge Rd Hot Springs, AR 71913 and Marilynn Sankowski 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410 Plaintiffs City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Barbara Deeley, individually and in her official capacity as the Sheriff of the City & County of Phila. Formerly c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 Philadelphia County and Daryll Stewart, individually and in his official capacity in the City and & No. 003214 County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor

Court of Common Pleas

Trial Division - Civil December Term 2012

Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Ed Chew, individually and in his official Capacity as counsel for the City &

County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

William Bengochea, individually and in His official capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Guerino Busillo, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 3 of 37

James McCarrie, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Angellinel Brown, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Paris Washington, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To the Honorable Judges of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441, defendants, City of Philadelphia, Barbara Deeley, Daryll Stewart, Ed Chew, William Bengochea, Guerino Busillo, James McCarrie, Angelinel Brown, and Paris Washington (hereinafter "petitioners") through their counsel, Anne B. Taylor, Assistant City Solicitor, respectfully petition for the removal of this action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. In support thereof, defendants state the following:

- 1. In December 2012, plaintiff initiated this action by a Complaint in the Court of Common Pleas in Philadelphia, December 2012, No. 003214. (Exhibit A Complaint).
- 2. On March 21, 2013, said Complaint was served on Petitioners at 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges that on January 4, 2011, they sustained damages when their civil rights were violated by the defendants. (Exhibit A).
- 4. This action may be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since Plaintiff's Complaint contains allegations of violations of the plaintiff's Federal Civil Rights and

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 4 of 37

seeks relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Exhibit A)

Wherefore, petitioners, City of Philadelphia, Barbara Deeley, Daryll Stewart, Ed Chew, William Bengochea, Guerino Busillo, James McCarrie, Angelinel Brown, and Paris Washington, respectfully request that the captioned Complaint be removed to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

Respectfully submitted,

Craig Straw Chief Deputy City Solicitor

ANNE B. TAYLOR
Assistant City Solicitor
Attorney I.D. No. 206057
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
215-683-5447

Date: 4/15/2013

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 5 of 37

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

James Porter 121 Knoliwood Lodge Rd Hot Springs, AR 71913 and Marilynn Sankowski 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410 **Plaintiffs** City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Barbara Deeley, individually and in her official capacity as the Sheriff of the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Daryll Stewart, individually and in his official capacity in the City and & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Ed Chew, individually and in his official Capacity as counsel for the City & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and William Bengochea, individually and in His official capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and Guerino Busillo, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia

1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and NO:

Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division - Civil December Term 2012 No. 003214

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 6 of 37

James McCarrie, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Angellinel Brown, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Paris Washington, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor

Defendants

NOTICE OF FILING OF REMOVAL

TO: Brian J. Zeiger, Esquire 123 South Broad St., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19109

Philadelphia, PA 19102

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on April 16, 2013, defendants, City of Philadelphia, Barbara Deeley, Daryll Stewart, Ed Chew, William Bengochea, Guerino Busillo, James McCarrie, Angelinel Brown, and Paris Washington, filed, in the office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania a verified Notice of Removal.

A copy of this Notice of Removal is attached hereto and is also being filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County, pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 1446(e).

ANNE-B. TAYLOR

Assistant City Solicitor Attorney I.D. No. 206057

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5447

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 7 of 37

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

James Porter
121 Knollwood Lodge Rd
Hot Springs, AR 71913
and
Marilynn Sankowski
13 S. Four Season Road
West Palm Beach, FL 33410

W

Plaintiffs

City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 and

Barbara Deeley, individually and in her official capacity as the Sheriff of the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Daryll Stewart, individually and in his official capacity in the City and & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Ed Chew, individually and in his official Capacity as counsel for the City & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

William Bengochea, individually and in His official capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

and

Guerino Busillo, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila.
c/o City of Philadelphia
1515 Arch St., 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
and

Civil Action

NO:_____

Formerly

Court of Common Pleas Philadelphia County Trial Division – Civil December Term 2012 No. 003214

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 24-4 Filed 06/30/17 Page 14 of 80

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 8 of 37

James McCarrie, individually and in his official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Angellinel Brown, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Phila., PA 19102 and Paris Washington, individually and in her official capacity as a Sheriff in the City & County of Phila. c/o City of Philadelphia

1515 Arch St., 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Anne B. Taylor, Assistant City Solicitor do hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the attached Notice of Removal has been served upon the following by First Class Mail, postpaid, on the date indicated below:

TO: Brian J. Zeiger, LLP 123 South Broad St., Suite 1200 Philadelphia, PA 19109

Anne B. Taylor

Assistant City Solicitor

City of Philadelphia Law Department 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102

215-683-5447

Date: 4/15/2013

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 24-4 Filed 06/30/17 Page 15 of 80

Exhibit "A"

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 10 of 37

56910

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP
BY BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE
IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063
123 SOUTH BROAD STREET
SUITE 1200
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109
215.825.5183

JAMES PORTER 121 Knollwood Lodge Rd. Hot Springs, AR 71913; and

MARILYNN SANKOWSKI 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410;

Plaintiffs,

٧.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor OF Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

BARBARA DEELEY, individually and in His Official Capacity as the Sheriff of the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

DARYLL STEWART, individually and in His Official Capacity in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ED CHEW, individually and in his official capacity as counsel for the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS



DECEMBER TERM, 2012

No. 3214

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 11 of 37

1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor OK : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

GUERINO BUSILLO, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor OVPhiladelphia, PA 19103, and

JAMES MCCARRIE, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia o/C City of Philadelphia o/C 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ANGELLINEL BROWN, individually and in Their Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia C/o City of Philadelphia
1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

PARIS WASHINGTON, individually and : in Their Official Capacity as a : Sheriff in the City and County of : Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 0 : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103,

Defendants.

NOTICE TO DEFEND

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the defend against the claims set forth in the usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas following pages, you must take action within expuestas en las páginas siguientes, usted twenty (20) days after the complaint and tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de notice are served, by entering a written la fecha de la demanda y la notificación. Hace appearance personally or by attorney and falta asentar una comparencia escrita o en filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION

Lawyer Referral and Information LEGAL. Service

> 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 238-1701

AVISO

Le han demandado a usted en la corte. Si persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en forma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suya sin previo aviso o notificación. Además, la corte puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATA-MENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA

ASOCIACIÓN DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

Servicio Referencia Dе Información Legal

> 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Filadelfia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 238-1701

> > Case ID: 121203214

E.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 13 of 37

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP BY BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063 123 SOUTH BROAD STREET SUITE 1200 PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109 215.825.5183

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

JAMES PORTER

121 Knollwood Lodge Rd. Hot Springs, AR 71913; and

MARILYNN SANKOWSKI 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410;

Plaintiffs,

vi.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

BARBARA DEELEY, individually and : in His Official Capacity as : the Sheriff of the City and County : of Philadelphia : c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and :

DARYLL STEWART, individually and : in His Official Capacity : in the City and County of : Philadelphia : c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and :

ED CHEW, individually and in his : official capacity as counsel for : the City and County of : Philadelphia : c/o City of Philadelphia :

DECEMBER TERM, 2012

: No. 3214

1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, individually and in His Official Capacity as a : Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

GUERINO BUSILLO, individually and : in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

JAMES MCCARRIE, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ANGELLINEL BROWN, individually and in Their Official Capacity as : a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

PARIS WASHINGTON, individually and : in Their Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his attorney Brian J. Zeiger, Esq., hereby alleges the following:

PARTIES

- 1. At all times relevant hereto the Plaintiff James Porter is an adult individual who is a resident of Arkansas.
- 2. At all times relevant hereto the Plaintiff Marilynn Sankowski is an adult individual who is a resident of Florida.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto the Defendant City of Philadelphia, is a municipal government agency organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal and central office of business located at 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants Barbara Deeley (hereinafter "Deeley"), Daryll Stewart, Ed Chew, William Bengochea, Guerino Busillo, James Mccarrie, Angellinel Brown, Paris Washington are believed to be adult citizens, being sued in their official and individual capacities with principal and central office of business located at 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 5. At all times material hereto, the Defendant City of Philadelphia acted through its agents, servants, council members, workmen and/or employees, specifically the City's

Sherriff's Department in the furtherance of its legislative mandate.

6. At all times material hereto, the sheriffs in question acted under color of law and within the course and scope of their employment, pursuant to their customs, policies, practices, ordinances, regulations, directives specifically for and of the City of Philadelphia, Sheriff's Department in the furtherance of its legislative mandate.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. On or about January 4, 2011, Plaintiffs were lawfully present at or near 3801 Market Street. Philadelphia, PA at or about 8:30 a.m.
- 8. Plaintiffs were at 3801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA, due to a sheriff's sale of real property.
- 9. Plaintiff Porter's family had a legal interest in a property that was being put up for sale.
- 10. When the sale of Plaintiff Porter's property began, Plaintiff Porter, stood to announce the title of said property was not clear.
 - 11. Plaintiff Porter was exercising his right to speech.
 - 12. The Defendants attacked Plaintiff Porter.
- 13. The Defendants used a stun gun or taser on Plaintiff Porter.

- 14. The Defendants punched, caused positional asphyxiation and hit Plaintiff Porter.
 - 15. The Defendants falsely arrested Plaintiff Porter.
 - 16. Defendant Chew attacked Plaintiff Sankowski.
- 17. Defendant Chew punched, tackled, and grabbed forceably, man handled, by jerking shoulder of Plaintiff Sankowski.
- 18. After the attack, both Plaintiffs required extensive medical treatment.
- 19. After Plaintiff Sankowski went to the Philadelphia Police in an attempt to have Defendant Chew arrested the assault.
- 20. Defendant Chew was never arrested for the assault due to an attempted cover-up.
- 21. The aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and/or the aforementioned conduct their employees and agents were a factual cause of the harm and damages sustained by the Plaintiffs. In the alternative, the aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and/or the aforementioned conduct of their employees and agents was the direct and proximate cause of the harm and damages sustained by the Plaintiffs.
- 22. The aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and the aforementioned conduct of their employees and agents

were a factual cause and the direct and proximate cause of at least the following harm and damages sustained by Plaintiffs:

- A. Injuries, dysfunctions, impairments, serious impairments of body or bodily functions, pain and trauma to various parts of the body and psyche, some or all of which are permanent including:
 - Physical injuries to various parts of the body including the shoulder, back and neck;
 - Permanent Neurological Disability;
 - Trauma and distress;
 - Flashbacks;
 - Psychiatric injuries;
 - Emotional injuries;
 - · Pain and suffering;
 - Emotional distress:
 - Mental anguish and distress;
 - Humiliation and embarrassment; and
 - Other injuries, the full extent of which is not yet known.
- B. Loss or reduction of earnings and/or earning capacity and/or household services from the date of the injuries to the date of the trial of this case including the increases in wages or compensation that Plaintiffs would have received had Plaintiffs not sustained the injuries in this occurrence.
- C. Loss or reduction earnings and earning capacity and/or household services from the date of the trial of this

case until Plaintiffs' death and work life span including the increases in wages or compensation that Plaintiffs would have received had Plaintiffs not sustained the injuries in this occurrence.

- D. Medical expenses of Plaintiffs related to or caused by the occurrence from the date of injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- E. Medical expenses that Plaintiffs will incur after the trial of this case until Plaintiffs' death related to or caused by the occurrence.
- F. Pain and suffering that Plaintiffs has endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- G. Pain and suffering that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the injury to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
- H. Embarrassment and humiliation that Plaintiffs have endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- I. Embarrassment and humiliation that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the trial of this case to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
- J. Loss of ability to enjoy the pleasures of life that Plaintiffs have endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.

- Loss of ability to enjoy the pleasures of life K. that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the trial of this case to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
 - Attorney Fees. L.
 - Incidental costs. Μ.
 - Property damage. N.
 - Loss of liberty. ٥.
- 23. Defendants' actions were wilful and outrageous in that their motives and conduct as set forth above were malicious, wanton, reckless, oppressive and so egregious as to shock contemporary conscience.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 23 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

24. Defendants' actions, were taken under colour of state law, are state actions under 42 U.S.C. sec. 1983, and have deprived Plaintiffs of their rights, privileges and/or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States including his right to be free from false arrest, false imprisonment and excessive force.

- 25. Defendants' actions were undertaken as part of the execution of a custom, policy, and/or practice that violated Plaintiffs' civil rights.
- 26. Defendants' actions have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Plaintiffs' constitutionally protected rights of freedom of speech and association, substantive and procedural Due Process, and Equal Protection. The Defendants also violated his constitutional rights by using excessive force and arresting him without probable cause under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 27. The Plaintiffs have the right to be secure in his persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, and this right was violated, as no warrants were issued upon probable cause or supported by Oath or affirmation or particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized, as protected under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 28. On information and belief, the aforesaid actions of Defendants were taken intentionally, willfully and/or with deliberate indifference to, or reckless disregard for, the rights secured to Plaintiffs. Defendants' actions as stated herein denied his equal protection of the law in violation of the United States Constitution, the Fourth Amendment, the Due

Process Clause, and Substantive Due Process rights of the Fourteenth Amendment, and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

- 29. Defendants' actions as stated herein denied Plaintiffs' right to due process in violation of the United States Constitution, the Fourth Amendment, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 30. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment against Defendants for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages (but no punitive damages against Defendant Philadelphia).

MONELL CLAIM UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANT PHILADELPHIA

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-30 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

31. The Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendant Philadelphia, has adopted and maintained for many years a recognized and accepted policy, custom and/or practice of condoning and/or acquiescing in denying free speech, equal protection of the law, condoning excessive force, false arrest,

and subjecting its citizens to the same type of treatment to which Plaintiff was subjected. Said policies, customs and practices violate the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States as well as federal statutory law and Pennsylvania law.

- Defendant Philadelphia, has adopted and maintained for many years, a recognized and accepted policy, custom and/or practice of systematically failing to properly train, investigate, supervise and discipline its employees, including the individual Defendants, regarding individuals' right to free speech, equal protection and to be free from being falsely arrested with excessive force, which policy and/or custom and/or practice violates the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
 - 33. Defendant Philadelphia, has been deliberately indifferent to the rights of its citizens to be given free speech, equal protection of the law and not be subject to excessive force, which deliberate indifference violates the Plaintiff's rights under the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 34. The Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver, that at all material times, Defendant Philadelphia, knew or should have known of the above described policy, custom and practice of the Philadelphia Sheriff's Department, and that its Sheriffs engaged in conduct that posed a pervasive and unreasonable risk of constitutional injury to citizens like Plaintiffs, and that they deliberately, knowingly and intentionally failed to take measures to stop or limit the policy, custom and practice and therefore acquiesced in, and were deliberately indifferent to, the aforementioned unconstitutional conduct and policy.
- 35. By failing to take action to stop or limit the policy and/or custom and/or practice by remaining deliberately indifferent to the systematic abuse which occurred in accordance with and as a direct and proximate result of the policy, Defendant Philadelphia condoned, acquiesced in, participated in, and perpetrated the policy in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 36. The conduct of Defendant Philadelphia and/or the conduct of defendants' employees or agents, including the Sheriff, and/or policy maker for the Defendant Philadelphia, were a factual cause of and/or the cause of the harm and damages sustained by Plaintiffs. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT III - EXCESSIVE FORCE

PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 36 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 37. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver the force used upon them was unnecessary and more force than was reasonable and necessary under the circumstances.
- 38. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived constitutional and statutory rights under the Fourth Amendment. Plaintiffs their
- 39. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment against Defendants for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 26 of 37

for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages.

COUNT IV - ARREST WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE 42 U.S.C. § 1983

PLAINTIFF PORTER v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART,

ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE,

ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-39 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 40. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was arrested without probable cause.
- 41. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived Plaintiff of his Fourth Amendment, constitutional and statutory rights.
- 42. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages.

COUNT V - FIRST AMMENDMENT RIGHTS VIOLATION 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFF PORTER v. ALL DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-42 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 43. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that his first amendment right to speech was violated by the willful and malicious actions of the Defendants.
- 44. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived Plaintiff of his first amendment right to speech.
- 45. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees, punitive damages, declaratory and injunctive relief.

COUNT VII - ASSAULT and BATTERY-STATE LAW CLAIM
PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED
CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE,
ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 45 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 46. The above-described actions of Defendants constitute a battery because their intentional physical contact of touching the Plaintiffs was harmful and offensive and gave the Plaintiffs reason to fear immediate bodily harm, and, in fact, caused bodily harm.
- 47. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, acted willfully and maliciously within the course and scope of their authority, wrongfully and unlawfully assaulted and battered the Plaintiffs, as more particularly described above supra.
- 48. Plaintiffs specifically complain of the conduct Defendants in menacing, threatening, harassing and physically abusing them, all of which placed him in imminent fear of bodily harm.
- 49. The above-described actions of Defendants placed the Plaintiffs in the reasonable fear of imminent bodily harm and resulted in the Plaintiffs being unlawfully and improperly assaulted against their will.
- 50. Defendants' malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 29 of 37

51. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious, intentional, and reckless and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiffs' rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of assault and battery, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs, and punitive damages.

COUNT VIII - FALSE ARREST-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFF PORTER V. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 51 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 52. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants through their actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint, did intentionally falsely arrest Plaintiff.
- 53. The above-described malicious, intentional, willful acts of Defendants were outrageous, atrocious, and completely

intolerable in a civilized society and went beyond all possible bounds of decency.

- 54. The malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions of Defendants were a factual cause of and/or caused the Plaintiff's injuries and harm that are described above. (See above).
- 55. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious and intentional and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of false arrest, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate his for injuries in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

COUNT IX - FALSE IMPRISONMENT-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFF PORTER V. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-55 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 31 of 37

- 56. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants through their actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint, did intentionally falsely imprison Plaintiff.
- 57. The above-described malicious, intentional, willful acts of Defendants were outrageous, atrocious, and completely intolerable in a civilized society and went beyond all possible bounds of decency.
- 58. The malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions of Defendants were a factual cause of and/or caused the Plaintiff's injuries and harm that are described above. (See above).
- 59. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious and intentional and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of false imprisonment, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate his for injuries in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

COUNT X- MALICIOUS PROSECUTION-STATE LAW CLAIM

PLAINTIFF PORTER v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-59 above are incorporated by reference as through fully set forth herein.

- 60. The actions and conduct of defendants alleged above constitute and resulted in the false arrest of Plaintiff under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 61. The actions and conduct of defendants constitute and resulted in the malicious prosecution of Plaintiff under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' actions, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer physical pain and suffering, serious mental anguish, psychological and emotional distress, fear, anxiety, embarrassment, loss of liberty, confinement, and the loss of the enjoyment of life all to his great detriment and loss.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned willful act and conduct of the defendants, Plaintiff has incurred other financial expenses to which he may otherwise be entitled to recover.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in excess of Two

Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages

COUNT XI - CIVIL CONSPIRACY-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-63 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 64. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, through their willful actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, did intentionally conspire, while acting within the course and scope of their authority, to assault and batter, unlawfully assault, arrest, imprison and maliciously prosecute Plaintiff, which ultimately caused Plaintiff substantial damages and harm.
- 65. Defendants civil conspiracy for their malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in excess of Two

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 34 of 37

Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

LEVIN S/ZEIGER, LLP BY: BRIAN J. ZEIGER

IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063

123 S. BROAD STREET, SUITE 1200 PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109

215.825.5183

zeiger@levinzeiger.com

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 35 of 37

Verification

We, James Porter € Marilyn Sankowski, hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in this action and verify that the statements. $\frac{C_1 \vee_{LL} \vee C_1 \vee_{LL} \cap_{LL} \cap$ of my knowledge, information and belief as received from the Plaintiff. I also understand that the statements are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

James Porter

120/2013

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 36 of 37

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Plaintiff: 121 Knollwood Lo	PENNSYLVANIA - DESIGNATION FORM to be		parp
Address of Defendant: Law De	dge Rd, Hot Springs, AR 71913 & 13 S, Four Seaso	n Rd., West Palm Beach, FL	33410
Place of Accident Incident	partment, 1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor, Philadelph	lia, PA 19102	·
Transaction	Use Reverse Side For Additional Sand		
(Attach two copies of the Di	nental corporate party with any parent corporation are tement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	nd any publicly held no	
). Yes 🛘	on owning 10% or more of its stock No 図
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation RELATED CASE IF ANY:		Yes 🗆	No 🗵
Case Number:	Judge	_	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is a	nswered to any of the following questions:		ted:
1. Is this case related to property included	in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye	Par sterriously 4	
		Van m	
Does this case involve the same issue of	f fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s		No ⊠
action in this court?	and the same transaction as a prior s	uit pending or within one year	previously terminated
terminated action in this court?	fringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier no	umbered case pending or with	in one was pravious
CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY (Yes 🗇	No E
Federal Question Cases:	,	-	
	B. Diversity Jurisdi	ction Cases:	
 ☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract ☐ FELA 	t and Att		
Jones Act – Personal Injury	2. Airplane Pers	surance Contract and Other Co	ontracts
Antitrust	3. Assault, Defau	mation	
☐ Patent	 4. ☐ Marine Persor 	nal Injury	
☐ Labor-Management Relations	5. Motor Vehicle	personal Injury	
⊠ Civil Rights	6. ☐ Other Persona	Injury (Please specific	
☐ Habeas Corpus	7. 🖸 Products Liabi	ility	
☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	8. Products liabil	ity - Asbestos	
Social Security Review Cases	9. LI All (Please specify)	other Diversity Cases	
All Other Federal Questions Cases (P	case specify))	
	ARBITRATION CERTIFICATIO	N	
Anne B. Taylor	(Check appropriate Category) , counsel of record do hereby certify:		
Pursuant to Local Rule 53.3 A, ci	vil rights cases are excluded from arbitration.		
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Sections of the sum of \$1.50 000 00	on 3(e)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and beliest and costs;		
eed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of inter Relief other than monetary damages is so	est and costs;	i, the damages recoverable in	this civil action case
TE:4/15/13	Amas 10 cm		
	Anne B. Taylor Attorney-at-Law	206057	
NOTE: A trial de nov	o will be a trial by jury only if there has been	Attorney I.D. #	
ify that, to my knowledge, the within case is rot as noted above.	of related to any case now pending or within one year	r previously terminated	8.
	0 11-	- rroosy terminated action	in this court
609 (4/03)	Anne B. Taylor, Figuire	206057	
41111 (4005)	ADDER Louise Plant		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

James	Porter	&	Marilynn	Sankowski

Civil Action

City of Phila., B. Deeley, D. Stewart, E. Chew, W. Bengochea G. Busillo, J. McCarrie, A. Brown & P. Washington

No.

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(215) 683-5447 Telephone		FAX Number	E-mail Addres	S		
		(215) 683-5397	anne.taylor@phila.go			
Date	3	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		•	
4/15/12			City of Phila., et al.			
		Anne B. Taylor, Esq	uire			
(f)	Standard Manageme	ent Cases that do not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	(2	()	
	management cases.			()	
(e)	the court. (See reve	at Cases that do not fall into tracks (a to as complex and that need special or erse side of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by			
(d)	(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
(c)	(c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
(b)	(b) Social Security Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(a)) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. §2241through § 2255.					

(Civ. 660) 10/02

EXHIBIT "G"

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 10 of 37

56910

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP
BY BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE
IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063
123 SOUTH BROAD STREET
SUITE 1200
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109
215.825.5183

JAMES PORTER 121 Knollwood Lodge Rd. Hot Springs, AR 71913; and

MARILYNN SANKOWSKI 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410;

Plaintiffs,

٧.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA
1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor OF
Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

BARBARA DEELEY, individually and : in His Official Capacity as : the Sheriff of the City and County : of Philadelphia : c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

DARYLL STEWART, individually and in His Official Capacity in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ED CHEW, individually and in his official capacity as counsel for the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS



DECEMBER TERM, 2012

No. 3214

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 11 of 37

1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia

c/o City of Philadelphia

1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Ok Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

GUERINO BUSILLO, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor OV Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

JAMES MCCARRIE, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia TS15 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ANGELLINEL BROWN, individually and in Their Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia C/O City of Philadelphia O/C City of Philadelphia IS15 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

PARIS WASHINGTON, individually and : in Their Official Capacity as a : Sheriff in the City and County of : Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 0 : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103.

Defendants.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 12 of 37

NOTICE TO DEFEND

NOTICE

You have been sued in court. If you wish to defend against the claims set forth in the usted quiere defenderse de estas demandas following pages, you must take action within expuestas en las páginas siguientes, usted twenty (20) days after the complaint and tiene veinte (20) dias de plazo al partir de notice are served, by entering a written appearance personally or by attorney and filing in writing with the court your defenses or objections to the claims set forth against you. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you by the court without further notice for any money claimed in the complaint or for any other claim or relief requested by the plaintiff. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

PHILADELPHIA BAR ASSOCIATION

Lawyer Referral and Information LEGAL. Service

> 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 238-1701

AVISO

la fecha de la demanda y la notificación. Hace falta asentar una comparencia escrita o en persona o con un abogado y entregar a la corte en Torma escrita sus defensas o sus objeciones a las demandas en contra de su persona. Sea avisado que si usted no se defiende, la corte tomará medidas y puede continuar la demanda en contra suyz sin previo aviso o notificación. Además, la corre puede decidir a favor del demandante y requiere que usted cumpla con todas las provisiones de esta demanda. Usted puede perder dinero o sus propiedades u otros derechos importantes para usted.

LLEVE ESTA DEMANDA A UN ABOGADO INMEDIATA-MENTE. SI NO TIENE ABOGADO O SI NO TIENE EL DINERO SUFICIENTE DE PAGAR TAL SERVICIO, VAYA EN PERSONA O LLAME POR TELEFONO A LA OFICINA CUYA DIRECCION SE ENCUENTRA ESCRITA ABAJO PARA AVERIGUAR DONDE SE PUEDE CONSEGUIR ASISTENCIA

ASOCIACIÓN DE LICENCIADOS DE FILADELFIA

Servicio Dе Referencia Información Legal

> 1101 Market Street, 11th Floor Filadelfia, Pennsylvania 19107 (215) 238-1701

r

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 13 of 37

:

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP
BY BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE
IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063
123 SOUTH BROAD STREET
SUITE 1200
PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109
215.825.5183

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

JAMES PORTER 121 Knollwood Lodge Rd. Hot Springs, AR 71913; and

MARILYNN SANKOWSKI 13 S. Four Season Road West Palm Beach, FL 33410;

Plaintiffs,

vi.

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

BARBARA DEELEY, individually and in His Official Capacity as the Sheriff of the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

DARYLL STEWART, individually and in His Official Capacity in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ED CHEW, individually and in his official capacity as counsel for the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia

DECEMBER TERM, 2012

No. 3214

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 14 of 37

1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

GUERINO BUSILLO, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

JAMES MCCARRIE, individually and in His Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

ANGELLINEL BROWN, individually and in Their Official Capacity as a Sheriff in the City and County of Philadelphia c/o City of Philadelphia 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103, and

PARIS WASHINGTON, individually and : in Their Official Capacity as a : Sheriff in the City and County of : Philadelphia : c/o City of Philadelphia : 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor : Philadelphia, PA 19103,

Defendants.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 15 of 37

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, by and through his attorney Brian J. Zeiger, Esq., hereby alleges the following:

PARTIES

- 1. At all times relevant hereto the Plaintiff James Porter is an adult individual who is a resident of Arkansas.
- 2. At all times relevant hereto the Plaintiff Marilynn Sankowski is an adult individual who is a resident of Florida.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto the Defendant City of Philadelphia, is a municipal government agency organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with a principal and central office of business located at 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 4. At all times relevant hereto, Defendants Barbara Deeley (hereinafter "Deeley"), Daryll Stewart, Ed Chew, William Bengochea, Guerino Busillo, James Mccarrie, Angellinel Brown, Paris Washington are believed to be adult citizens, being sued in their official and individual capacities with principal and central office of business located at 1515 Arch Street, 16th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103.
- 5. At all times material hereto, the Defendant City of Philadelphia acted through its agents, servants, council members, workmen and/or employees, specifically the City's

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 16 of 37

Sherriff's Department in the furtherance of its legislative mandate.

6. At all times material hereto, the sheriffs in question acted under color of law and within the course and scope of their employment, pursuant to their customs, policies, practices, ordinances, regulations, directives specifically for and of the City of Philadelphia, Sheriff's Department in the furtherance of its legislative mandate.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 7. On or about January 4, 2011, Plaintiffs were lawfully present at or near 3801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA at or about 8:30 a.m.
- 8. Plaintiffs were at 3801 Market Street, Philadelphia, PA, due to a sheriff's sale of real property.
- 9. Plaintiff Porter's family had a legal interest in a property that was being put up for sale.
- 10. When the sale of Plaintiff Porter's property began, Plaintiff Porter, stood to announce the title of said property was not clear.
 - 11. Plaintiff Porter was exercising his right to speech.
 - 12. The Defendants attacked Plaintiff Porter.
- 13. The Defendants used a stun gun or taser on Plaintiff Porter.

- 14. The Defendants punched, caused positional asphyxiation and hit Plaintiff Porter.
 - 15. The Defendants falsely arrested Plaintiff Porter.
 - 16. Defendant Chew attacked Plaintiff Sankowski.
- 17. Defendant Chew punched, tackled, and grabbed forceably, man handled, by jerking shoulder of Plaintiff Sankowski.
- 18. After the attack, both Plaintiffs required extensive medical treatment.
- 19. After Plaintiff Sankowski went to the Philadelphia Police in an attempt to have Defendant Chew arrested the assault.
- 20. Defendant Chew was never arrested for the assault due to an attempted cover-up.
- 21. The aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and/or the aforementioned conduct their employees and agents were a factual cause of the harm and damages sustained by the Plaintiffs. In the alternative, the aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and/or the aforementioned conduct of their employees and agents was the direct and proximate cause of the harm and damages sustained by the Plaintiffs.
- 22. The aforementioned acts and conduct of the Defendants and the aforementioned conduct of their employees and agents

were a factual cause and the direct and proximate cause of at least the following harm and damages sustained by Plaintiffs:

- A. Injuries, dysfunctions, impairments, serious impairments of body or bodily functions, pain and trauma to various parts of the body and psyche, some or all of which are permanent including:
 - Physical injuries to various parts of the body including the shoulder, back and neck;
 - Permanent Neurological Disability;
 - Trauma and distress;
 - Flashbacks;
 - Psychiatric injuries;
 - · Emotional injuries;
 - Pain and suffering;
 - Emotional distress;
 - Mental anguish and distress;
 - · Humiliation and embarrassment; and
 - Other injuries, the full extent of which is not yet known.
- B. Loss or reduction of earnings and/or earning capacity and/or household services from the date of the injuries to the date of the trial of this case including the increases in wages or compensation that Plaintiffs would have received had Plaintiffs not sustained the injuries in this occurrence.
- C. Loss or reduction earnings and earning capacity and/or household services from the date of the trial of this

case until Plaintiffs' death and work life span including the increases in wages or compensation that Plaintiffs would have received had Plaintiffs not sustained the injuries in this occurrence.

- D. Medical expenses of Plaintiffs related to or caused by the occurrence from the date of injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- E. Medical expenses that Plaintiffs will incur after the trial of this case until Plaintiffs' death related to or caused by the occurrence.
- F. Pain and suffering that Plaintiffs has endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- G. Pain and suffering that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the injury to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
- H. Embarrassment and humiliation that Plaintiffs have endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.
- I. Embarrassment and humiliation that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the trial of this case to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
- J. Loss of ability to enjoy the pleasures of life that Plaintiffs have endured from the date of the injury to the date of the trial of this case.

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 20 of 37

- K. Loss of ability to enjoy the pleasures of life that Plaintiffs will endure from the date of the trial of this case to the date of Plaintiffs' death.
 - L. Attorney Fees.
 - M. Incidental costs.
 - N. Property damage.
 - O. Loss of liberty.
- 23. Defendants' actions were wilful and outrageous in that their motives and conduct as set forth above were malicious, wanton, reckless, oppressive and so egregious as to shock contemporary conscience.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANTS

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-23 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

24. Defendants' actions, were taken under colour of state law, are state actions under 42 U.S.C. sec. 1983, and have deprived Plaintiffs of their rights, privileges and/or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States including his right to be free from false arrest, false imprisonment and excessive force.

- 25. Defendants' actions were undertaken as part of the execution of a custom, policy, and/or practice that violated Plaintiffs' civil rights.
- 26. Defendants' actions have violated, and unless enjoined will continue to violate, Plaintiffs' constitutionally protected rights of freedom of speech and association, substantive and procedural Due Process, and Equal Protection. The Defendants also violated his constitutional rights by using excessive force and arresting him without probable cause under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 27. The Plaintiffs have the right to be secure in his persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, and this right was violated, as no warrants were issued upon probable cause or supported by Oath or affirmation or particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized, as protected under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 28. On information and belief, the aforesaid actions of Defendants were taken intentionally, willfully and/or with deliberate indifference to, or reckless disregard for, the rights secured to Plaintiffs. Defendants' actions as stated herein denied his equal protection of the law in violation of the United States Constitution, the Fourth Amendment, the Due

Process Clause, and Substantive Due Process rights of the Fourteenth Amendment, and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

- 29. Defendants' actions as stated herein denied Plaintiffs' right to due process in violation of the United States Constitution, the Fourth Amendment, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, and 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.
- 30. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment against Defendants for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages (but no punitive damages against Defendant Philadelphia).

COUNT II -MONELL CLAIM UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFFS V. DEFENDANT PHILADELPHIA

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-30 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

31. The Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendant Philadelphia, has adopted and maintained for many years a recognized and accepted policy, custom and/or practice of condoning and/or acquiescing in denying free speech, equal protection of the law, condoning excessive force, false arrest,

and subjecting its citizens to the same type of treatment to which Plaintiff was subjected. Said policies, customs and practices violate the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States as well as federal statutory law and Pennsylvania law.

- 32. The Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that the Defendant Philadelphia, has adopted and maintained for many years, a recognized and accepted policy, custom and/or practice of systematically failing to properly train, investigate, supervise and discipline its employees, including the individual Defendants, regarding individuals' right to free speech, equal protection and to be free from being falsely arrested with excessive force, which policy and/or custom and/or practice violates the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 33. Defendant Philadelphia, has been deliberately indifferent to the rights of its citizens to be given free speech, equal protection of the law and not be subject to excessive force, which deliberate indifference violates the Plaintiff's rights under the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 34. The Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver, that at all material times, Defendant Philadelphia, knew or should have known of the above described policy, custom and practice of the Philadelphia Sheriff's Department, and that its Sheriffs engaged in conduct that posed a pervasive and unreasonable risk of constitutional injury to citizens like Plaintiffs, and that they deliberately, knowingly and intentionally failed to take measures to stop or limit the policy, custom and practice and therefore acquiesced in, and were deliberately indifferent to, the aforementioned unconstitutional conduct and policy.
- 35. By failing to take action to stop or limit the policy and/or custom and/or practice by remaining deliberately indifferent to the systematic abuse which occurred in accordance with and as a direct and proximate result of the policy, Defendant Philadelphia condoned, acquiesced in, participated in, and perpetrated the policy in violation of the Plaintiffs' rights under the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, the laws of the United States and of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 36. The conduct of Defendant Philadelphia and/or the conduct of defendants' employees or agents, including the Sheriff, and/or policy maker for the Defendant Philadelphia, were a factual cause of and/or the cause of the harm and damages sustained by Plaintiffs. (See above).

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 25 of 37

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT III - EXCESSIVE FORCE 42 U.S.C. § 1983

PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs allege each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-36 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 37. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver the force used upon them was unnecessary and more force than was reasonable and necessary under the circumstances.
- 38. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived Plaintiffs of their constitutional and statutory rights under the Fourth Amendment.
- 39. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands judgment against Defendants for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB | Document 1 | Filed 04/16/13 | Page 26 of 37

for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages.

COUNT IV - ARREST WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE 42 U.S.C. § 1983

PLAINTIFF PORTER v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART,
ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE,
ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-39 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 40. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that he was arrested without probable cause.
- 41. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived Plaintiff of his Fourth Amendment, constitutional and statutory rights.
- 42. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees and punitive damages.

COUNT V - FIRST AMMENDMENT RIGHTS VIOLATION 42 U.S.C. § 1983 PLAINTIFF PORTER v. ALL DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-42 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 43. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that his first amendment right to speech was violated by the willful and malicious actions of the Defendants.
- 44. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants, acting in concert and conspiracy with each other, have by the aforementioned actions deprived Plaintiff of his first amendment right to speech.
- 45. Defendants' actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiff's substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and attorneys' fees, punitive damages, declaratory and injunctive relief.

COUNT VII - ASSAULT and BATTERY-STATE LAW CLAIM
PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED
CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE,
ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 45 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 46. The above-described actions of Defendants constitute a battery because their intentional physical contact of touching the Plaintiffs was harmful and offensive and gave the Plaintiffs reason to fear immediate bodily harm, and, in fact, caused bodily harm.
- 47. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, acted willfully and maliciously within the course and scope of their authority, wrongfully and unlawfully assaulted and battered the Plaintiffs, as more particularly described above supra.
- 48. Plaintiffs specifically complain of the conduct Defendants in menacing, threatening, harassing and physically abusing them, all of which placed him in imminent fear of bodily harm.
- 49. The above-described actions of Defendants placed the Plaintiffs in the reasonable fear of imminent bodily harm and resulted in the Plaintiffs being unlawfully and improperly assaulted against their will.
- 50. Defendants' malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

51. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious, intentional, and reckless and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiffs' rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of assault and battery, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in an amount in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs, and punitive damages.

COUNT VIII - FALSE ARREST-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFF PORTER V. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 51 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 52. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants through their actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint, did intentionally falsely arrest Plaintiff.
- 53. The above-described malicious, intentional, willful acts of Defendants were outrageous, atrocious, and completely

intolerable in a civilized society and went beyond all possible bounds of decency.

- 54. The malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions of Defendants were a factual cause of and/or caused the Plaintiff's injuries and harm that are described above. (See above).
- 55. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious and intentional and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of false arrest, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate his for injuries in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

COUNT IX - FALSE IMPRISONMENT-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFF PORTER v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiff alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-55 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 56. Plaintiff believes and therefore avers that Defendants through their actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this complaint, did intentionally falsely imprison Plaintiff.
- 57. The above-described malicious, intentional, willful acts of Defendants were outrageous, atrocious, and completely intolerable in a civilized society and went beyond all possible bounds of decency.
- 58. The malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions of Defendants were a factual cause of and/or caused the Plaintiff's injuries and harm that are described above. (See above).
- 59. The above-described actions of Defendants were so malicious and intentional and displayed such a reckless indifference to the Plaintiff's rights and well-being, that the imposition of punitive damages is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants for the common law tort of false imprisonment, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate his for injuries in excess of Two Million (\$2,000,000.00) together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

COUNT X- MALICIOUS PROSECUTION-STATE LAW CLAIM

PLAINTIFF PORTER v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-59 above are incorporated by reference as through fully set forth herein.

- 60. The actions and conduct of defendants alleged above constitute and resulted in the false arrest of Plaintiff under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 61. The actions and conduct of defendants constitute and resulted in the malicious prosecution of Plaintiff under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' actions, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer physical pain and suffering, serious mental anguish, psychological and emotional distress, fear, anxiety, embarrassment, loss of liberty, confinement, and the loss of the enjoyment of life all to his great detriment and loss.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned willful act and conduct of the defendants, Plaintiff has incurred other financial expenses to which he may otherwise be entitled to recover.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate him for injuries in excess of Two

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 33 of 37

Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages

COUNT XI - CIVIL CONSPIRACY-STATE LAW CLAIM PLAINTIFFS v. DEFENDANTS BARBARA DEELEY, DARYLL STEWART, ED CHEW, WILLIAM BENGOCHEA, GUERINO BUSILLO, JAMES MCCARRIE, ANGELLINEL BROWN, PARIS WASHINGTON

Plaintiffs alleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 - 63 of this Complaint and incorporates them herein by reference as if the same were set forth at length.

- 64. Plaintiffs believe and therefore aver that Defendants, through their willful actions, as more fully set forth in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint, did intentionally conspire, while acting within the course and scope of their authority, to assault and batter, unlawfully assault, arrest, imprison and maliciously prosecute Plaintiff, which ultimately caused Plaintiff substantial damages and harm.
- 65. Defendants civil conspiracy for their malicious, intentional and/or reckless actions were a factual cause of and/or caused Plaintiffs' substantial damages and harm. (See above).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs demands compensatory and punitive damages against Defendants, for such sums as would reasonably and properly compensate them for injuries in excess of Two

Million (\$2,000,000.00) Dollars together with delay damages, interest, costs and punitive damages.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP BY: BRIAN J. ZEIGER

IDENTIFICATION NO.: 87063

123 S. BROAD STREET, SUITE 1200 PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19109

215.825.5183

zeiger@levinzeiger.com

Case 2:13-cv-02008-MMB Document 1 Filed 04/16/13 Page 35 of 37

Verification

We, James Porter & Marilyn Sankowski, hereby state that I am the Plaintiff in this action and verify that the statements.

Civil Complaint Med.

made in the foregoing document are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief as received from the Plaintiff. I also understand that the statements are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

3/8/13

James Porter

3/20/2013

Date

Marilyn Sankowski

EXHIBIT "H"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PORTER et al. Plaintiff.	:	CIVIL ACTION NO: 13-2008 TRIAL BY JURY
ν.	**	
PHILADELPHIA, ET AL. Defendants	•	
	ORDER	
AND NOW, this J. Zeiger, Esquire is hereby w The Clerk of Courts is hereby Esquire as counsel of record i	ithdrawn as of ORDERED	, 2017, counsel, Brian counsel for Plaintiff James Porter. to remove Brian J. Zeiger, matter.
		3 F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PORTER et al.

: CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff.

: NO: 13-2008

: TRIAL BY JURY

,

JAMES PORTER ONLY

v.

PHILADELPHIA, ET AL. :

Defendants

MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FROM PLAINTIFF

Now comes undersigned counsel, Brian J. Zeiger, Esquire, and avers the following:

- 1. Brian J. Zeiger, Esquire, undersigned counsel, is counsel for all plaintiffs in the instant matter.
- 2. Counsel and Plaintiff James Porter have irreconcilable differences.1
- 3. The basis of the differences between counsel and Plaintiff Porter are two-fold.
- 4. First, Plaintiff Porter insists on amending his complaint to add facts and claims for which counsel can find no factual basis.
- Counsel is also believes some of the new claims would be collaterally estopped.

¹ Counsel respectfully requests to remain counsel for Plaintiff Marilynn Sankowski.

6. As guidance, Pennsylvania Rule of Professional Conduct 3.1.

Meritorious Claims and Contentions, is clear:

A lawyer shall not bring or defend a proceeding, or assert or controvert an issue therein, unless there is a basis in law and fact for doing so that is not frivolous, which includes a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law. A lawyer for the defendant in a criminal proceeding, or the respondent in a proceeding that could result in incarceration, may nevertheless so defend the proceeding as to require that every element of the case be established.

7. Further, Comment 2 of Rule 3.1 gives further guidance:

The filing of an action or defense or similar action taken for a client is not frivolous merely because the facts have not first been fully substantiated or because the lawyer expects to develop vital evidence only by discovery. What is required of lawyers, however, is that they inform themselves about the facts of their clients' cases and the applicable law and determine that they can make good faith arguments in support of their clients' positions. Such action is not frivolous even though the lawyer believes that the client's position ultimately will not prevail. The action is frivolous, however, if the lawyer is unable either to make a good faith argument on the merits of the action taken or to support the action taken by a good faith argument for an extension, modification or reversal of existing law.

- 8. Accordingly, counsel cannot file a pleading with this Honorable Court in knowing violation of Rule 3.1.
- 9. Counsel believes the amendment would be frivolous and collaterally estopped and in violation of Rule 3.1.
- 10. Therefore counsel has refused to file an amended complaint, which has caused great discord between Plaintiff Porter and counsel.
- 11.Second, counsel has instructed Plaintiff Porter on numerous occasions that counsel does not permit *pro se* filings and communications to this Honorable Court when counsel is of record in the underlying case.
- 12.Plaintiff Porter assured counsel on multiple occasions he would not correspond with this Honorable Court or file any *pro se* pleadings.
- 13. Yet sometime in November 2015, Plaintiff Porter wrote a letter directly to this Honorable Court without counsel's knowledge.
- 14. At the time the letter was sent, counsel was counsel of record.
- 15. Further, even if Plaintiff Porter was pro se at the time, per this

 Honorable Court's rules, "I. General Matters, 3. Pro se parties are
 expected to adhere to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the
 Local Rules of Civil Procedure and to file all of their pleadings with
 the Clerk of the Court, rather than directly with Chambers."

- 16. Since that time, counsel has requested Plaintiff Porter find substitute counsel.
- 17. On February 2, 2017, Plaintiff Porter filed a *pro se* pleading with this Honorable Court.
- 18. Accordingly, Plaintiff Porter did not find substitute counsel.
- 19. Again, Plaintiff Porter filed the frivolous pleading without permission from undersigned counsel and did not inform counsel of his intent to file the pleading.
- 20. Counsel requests permission from this Honorable Court to withdraw as counsel for Plaintiff James Porter only.

Respectfully Submitted,

RV.

BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP PA ID: 87063 1500 JFK BLVD, SUITE 620 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

215.825.5183 zeiger@levinzeiger.com

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

PORTER et al.

CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff.

NO: 13-2008

TRIAL BY JURY

.

...

PHILADELPHIA, ET AL.

Defendants

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the following electronically:

BROCK ATKINS
1515 Arch Street, 14th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1595
(215) 683-5391 (direct dial)
(215) 683-5397 (fax)
brock.atkins@phila.gov
Attorney for Defendants

JAMES PORTER 121 Knollwood Lodge Road Hot Spring, AR 71913

Respectfully Submitted,

BY:

BRIAN J. ZEIGER, ESQUIRE

LEVIN & ZEIGER, LLP

PA ID: 87063 1500 JFK BLVD,

SUITE 620

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102

215.825.5183

zeiger@levinzeiger.com

EXHIBIT "I"

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

		İ
JAMES PORTER and MARILYNN SANKOWSKI	CIVIL ACTION	
v.	NO. 13-2008	
CITY OF PHILADELPHIA, et al.		1

<u>ORDER</u>

By Order dated March 28, 2017 (ECF 16), the Court granted Plaintiff 30 days in which to file a Motion to Amend the Complaint. After a short extension, Plaintiff has filed a document entitled, "Motion to Amend the Complaint" as of May 9, 2017 (ECF 20). Upon review of this document, it is obvious that it is not in compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or our Local Rules. The entire content consists of over 100 pages of verbose claims, evidentiary documents, etc.

The document filed at ECF 20 shall be STRICKEN.

The Court will allow Plaintiff one additional 30 day period in which to file a Motion to Amend the Complaint with substantial adherence to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of this Court.

The Plaintiff must also file, in addition to the Motion, as required by our Local Rules, a Memorandum of Law in support of the motion. To the extent that Plaintiff seeks to add additional defendants, he must state in the Motion or Memorandum the grounds to do so.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Michael M. Baylson

Dated: May 16, 2017

MICHAEL M. BAYLSON, U.S.D.J.

O/CIVIL 13/13-2008 porter et al v. city phila et al/13ev2008 order 05162017.doc